arXiv:0806.3721v1 [math.DG] 23 Jun 2008

Distinguished Orbits of Reductive Groups

M. Jablonski

Abstract

We prove a generalization and give a new proof of a theorem of Borel-Harish-Chandra on closed orbits of linear actions of reductive groups. Consider a real reductive algebraic group G acting linearly and rationally on a real vector space V. G can be viewed as the real points of a complex reductive group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ which acts on $V^{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes \mathbb{C}$. In [BHC62] it was shown that $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap V$ is a finite union of G-orbits; moreover, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$ is closed if and only if $G \cdot v$ is closed, see [RS90]. We show that the same result holds not just for closed orbits but for the so-called distinguished orbits. An orbit is called distinguished if it contains a critical point of the norm squared of the moment map on projective space. Our main result compares the complex and real settings to show $G \cdot v$ is distinguished if and only if $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$ is distinguished.

In addition, we show that if an orbit is distinguished, then under the negative gradient flow of the norm squared of the moment map the entire G-orbit collapses to a single K-orbit. This result holds in both the complex and real settings.

We finish with some applications to the study of the left-invariant geometry of Lie groups.

1 Introduction

An analytical approach to finding closed orbits in the complex setting was developed by Kempf-Ness [KN78] and extended to the real setting by Richardson-Slodowy [RS90]. From their perspective, the closed orbits are those that contain the zeros of the so-called moment map. However, one can consider more generally critical points of this moment map on projective space. Work on the moment map in the complex setting has been done by Ness [NM84] and Kirwan [Kir84]. Following those works, the real moment map was explored in [Mar01] and [EJ].

Consider a real linear reductive group G acting linearly and rationally on a real vector space V. There is a complex linear reductive group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that G is a finite index subgroup of the real points of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$; moreover, $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on the complexifcation $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ of V. The linear action of G, respectively $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, extends to an action on real projective space $\mathbb{P}V$, respectively complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. For $v \in V$, we call an orbit $G \cdot v$, or $G \cdot [v]$, *distinguished* if the orbit $G \cdot [v]$ in real projective space contains a critical point of $||m||^2$, the norm square of the real moment map. Similarly, for $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$, we call an orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$, or $G \cdot \pi[v]$, *distinguished* if the orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \pi[v]$ in complex projective space contains a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$, the norm square of the complex moment map. Here $\pi : \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the natural projection. Our main theorems are

Theorem 4.7 *Given $G \circlearrowright V$, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright V^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$ we have*

$G \cdot [v]$ is a distinguished orbit in $\mathbb{P}V$ if and only if $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \pi[v]$ is a distinguished orbit in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Here $\pi : \mathbb{P}V \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the usual projection.

Theorem 5.1 *For $x \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, suppose $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ contains a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$. If $z \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is such a critical point, then $\mathfrak{C} \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x = U \cdot z$. Moreover, $U \cdot z = \bigcup_{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}} \omega(gx)$.*

Theorem 5.2 *For $x \in \mathbb{P}V$, suppose $G \cdot x \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ contains a critical point of $||m||^2$. If $z \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ is such a critical point, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G \cdot x = K \cdot z$. Moreover, $K \cdot z = \bigcup_{g \in G} \omega(gx)$.*

Here μ^* is the moment map for the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\mathfrak{C}$ is the set of critical points of $||\mu^*||^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, while m is the moment map for the action of G on $\mathbb{P}V$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of critical points of $||m||^2$ in $\mathbb{P}V$. The fact that $\mathfrak{C} \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x = U \cdot z$ was proven in [NM84] in the complex setting; the fact that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G \cdot x = K \cdot z$ was proven in [Mar01] in the real setting. The fact that the orbit collapses under the negative gradient flow of $||\mu^*|||^2$, respectively $||m||^2$, to a single U-orbit, respectively K-orbit, is our new contribution (see Definition 4.5 for the definition of the ω-limit set).

The value of Theorem 4.7 is as follows. Since $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap V$ is a finite union of G-orbits, if we can show that one of these G-orbits is distinguished then all of them are. This has been applied to the problem of finding generic 2-step nilpotent Lie groups which admit soliton metrics. See [Jab08] for more information on the soliton problem.

These theorems are then applied in Section 6 to questions regarding the left-invariant geometry of nilpotent and solvable Lie groups.

Acknowledgements. This note is a portion of my thesis work completed under the direction of Pat Eberlein at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. I am grateful to him for suggesting many improvements to the first version of this paper.

2 Notation and Technical Preliminaries

Our goal is to study closed reductive subgroups G of $GL(E)$ which are more or less algebraic. Here E is a real vector space and we denote its complexification by $E^{\mathbb{C}} = E \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We call a subgroup H of $GL(E)$ a *real algebraic group* if H is the zero set of polynomials on $GL(E)$ with real coefficients; that is, polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[GL(E)]$.

Consider a closed subgroup $H \subseteq GL(E)$ with finitely many connected components and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(E)$. Let $\mathfrak{z}$ denote the center of $\mathfrak{h}$. We say that H, or $\mathfrak{h} = L(H)$, is *reductive* if $\mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \oplus \mathfrak{z}$, $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$ is semi-simple, and $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ consists of semi-simple endomorphisms. Reductive groups in this sense are precisely the groups that are completely reducible, see [BHC62, section 1.2].

We say that a group $G \subset GL(E)$ is a *real linear reductive group* if G is a finite index subgroup of a real algebraic reductive group H; that is, G satisfies $H_0 \subseteq G \subseteq H$, where H_0 is the Hausdorff identity component of H. For complex algebraic groups the Hausdorff and Zariski identity components coincide. However, this need not be true for real algebraic groups. It is well-known that there exists a complex (algebraic) reductive group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined over $\mathbb{R}$ such that G is Zariski dense in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and is a finite index subgroup of the real points $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}) := G^{\mathbb{C}} \cap GL(E)$ of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$; that is, $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})_0 \subseteq G \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$. For completeness we construct this group.

Consider G, H as above. The ideal of polynomials that describe $H \subseteq GL(E)$ also describe a variety $\overline{H} \subseteq GL(E^{\mathbb{C}})$ which is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. This variety $\overline{H}$ is the Zariski closure of H in $GL(E^{\mathbb{C}})$. As H is a subgroup of $GL(E^{\mathbb{C}})$, it follows that $\overline{H}$ is actually a complex algebraic subgroup of $GL(E^{\mathbb{C}})$, see [Bor91, I.2.1]. Moreover, $\overline{H}$ is smooth and we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{H} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H$, see [Whi57]. By comparing the dimensions of these groups and their tangent spaces at the identity, one sees $L(\overline{H}) = L(H) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Thus, $\overline{H}$ is reductive as H is reductive.

To construct $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ we consider $\overline{H}_0$, the Hausdorff identity component of $\overline{H}$. Recall that $\overline{H}_0$ is an algebraic group as the Hausdorff and Zariski identity components of $\overline{H}$ coincide, see [Bor91, I.1]. Define $G^{\mathbb{C}} = \overline{H}_0 \cdot G$. This is a subgroup of $\overline{H}$ as $\overline{H}_0$ is normal in $\overline{H}$; moreover, as $\overline{H}_0$ has finite index in $\overline{H}$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ contains $\overline{H}_0$, it follows that $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ has finite index in $\overline{H}$. Equivalently, we can write $G^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_n (g_n \cdot \overline{H}_0)$ where $\{g_n\} \subset G$ is a finite collection. Thus $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an algebraic group as it can be described as a union of varieties. Additionally, we observe that each component $g_n \cdot \overline{H}_0$ of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ intersects G and that $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Zariski closure of G. The importance of this observation will be made clear when extending certain inner products on real vector spaces to their complexifications; see Proposition 2.4.

We call the group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ the *complexification of* G. We choose the complex subgroup $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ instead of $\overline{H}$ as $\overline{H}$ might have topological components which do not intersect G. This is the complexification used by Mostow, see [Mos55, section 2]. We point out that we are always working in the usual topology and will explicitly

state when we are talking about Zariski closed sets.

Let V be a real vector space and denote its complexification by $V^{\mathbb{C}} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We will consider representations $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ that are the restrictions of morphisms $\rho^{\mathbb{C}} : G^{\mathbb{C}} \to GL(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ of algebraic groups. See [Bor91] for more information on algebraic groups and morphisms between them. We will call such a representation a *rational representation* of G. Note: We will denote the induced Lie algebra representation by the same letter.

Cartan Involutions

Let E be a finite dimensional real vector space. A *Cartan involution* of $GL(E)$ is an involution of the form $\theta(g) = (g^t)^{-1}$, where g^t denotes the metric adjoint with respect to some inner product on E. At the Lie algebra level this involution is $\theta(X) = -X^t$.

Proposition 2.1 (Mostow [Mos55])**.** *There exists a Cartan involution θ of $GL(E)$ such that $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$ is θ-stable.*

Proposition 2.2 (Borel, Proposition 13.5 [BHC62])**.** *Let $\rho : G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}) \to GL(V)$ be a rational representation. Let θ be a Cartan involution of $GL(E)$ such that $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$ is θ-stable. Then there exists a Cartan involution θ_1 of $GL(V)$ such that $\rho \circ \theta = \theta_1 \circ \rho$.*

This proposition is extended in the next proposition which follows from sections 1 and 2 of [RS90].

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be defined as above and $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ a rational representation, then*

a. *There exists a K-invariant inner product on V such that G is self-adjoint; hence, the Lie algebra $L(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ is also self-adjoint. That is, there exist Cartan involutions θ, θ_1 on G, $\rho(G)$, respectively, such that $\rho \circ \theta = \theta_1 \circ \rho$.*

b. *There exist decompositions of G and $\mathfrak{g}$, called Cartan decompositions, so that $G = KP$ as a product of manifolds and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Here $K = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G, $\mathfrak{k} = L(K) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \theta(X) = X\}$, $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \theta(X) = -X\}$, and $P = exp(\mathfrak{p})$. Moreover, there exists an AdK-invariant inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is orthogonal and, for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, $ad\ X$ is a symmetric transformation relative to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$.*

c. *The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V is K-invariant, $\rho(X)$ are symmetric transformations for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, and $\rho(X)$ are skew-symmetric transformations for $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

The subspaces $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ that arise in the Cartan decomposition above have the following set of relations

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}, \qquad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \qquad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$$

This is easy to see since $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ are the $+1, -1$ eigenspaces, respectively, of the Cartan involution θ. We point out that our $Ad\ K$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ restricts to such on $\mathfrak{p}$ as the relations above show that $\mathfrak{p}$ is $Ad\ K$-invariant. Additionally, if the group G were semi-simple, then up to scaling the only choice for $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ would be $-B(\theta(\cdot), \cdot)$ on each simple factor of $\mathfrak{g}$, where B is the Killing form of G.

Our Cartan involution θ on G is the restriction of a Cartan involution on $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, see [RS90, 2.8 and section 8] and [Mos55]. This gives Cartan decompositions $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} = U \cdot Q$, where U is a maximal compact subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $Q = exp(\mathfrak{q})$, and $U \cap Q = \{1\}$.

We observe that the maximal compact groups U and K are related by $U = KU_0$. To see this, it suffices to prove $KU_0Q = UQ = G^{\mathbb{C}}$ since $KU_0 \subseteq U$ and $U \cap Q = \{1\}$. Since $U_0Q = \overline{H}_0$ and $P \subseteq Q$, we obtain $KU_0Q = KP \cdot \overline{H}_0 = G \cdot \overline{H}_0 = \overline{H}_0 \cdot G = G^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The subspaces $\mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are related to $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{u} &= \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{q} &= i\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \end{aligned}$$

These two subspaces of $LG^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ have a nice interpretation relative to a particular inner product on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Our construction of this inner product on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is similar to that done in sections 2 and 8 of [RS90]. We will be consistent with their notation.

Proposition 2.4. *The K-invariant inner product $<,>$ on V, described in Proposition 2.3, extends to a U-invariant inner product S on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ with a similar list of properties for $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. Additionally, the inner product $\ll,\gg$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ extends to an Ad U-invariant inner product $\mathbb{S}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. The proof of this fact follows the construction of S in A2 (proof of 2.9) in [RS90]. Define the inner product on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$S(v_1 + i\ v_2, w_1 + i\ w_2) =< v_1, w_1 > + < v_2, w_2 >$$

In this way, V and iV are orthogonal under S and i acts as a skew-symmetric transformation on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to S. S is positive definite on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Recall that $U = KU_0$ (see the remark above), and observe that S is K-invariant as K preserves V, iV and $<,>$ is K-invariant. Thus to show U-invariance, once just needs to show U_0-invariance. This follows since $\rho(\mathfrak{u})$ acts skew-symmetrically and $U_0 = exp(\mathfrak{u})$.

We leave to the reader the details of showing that $\rho(\mathfrak{u})$ acts skew-symmetrically and $\rho(\mathfrak{q})$ acts symmetrically relative to S. Lastly, the extension of $<<,>>$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathbb{S}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a special case of the above work. □

We say that the inner products on our complex spaces are *compatible* with the inner products on the underlying real spaces. The inner product S constructed here gives rise to a U-invariant Hermitian form $H = S + iA$ on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ where we define $A(x, y) = S(x, iy)$. This Hermitian form is compatible with the real structure V in the sense of Richardson and Slodowy, that is, $A = 0$ when restricted to $V \times V$; see sections 2 and 8 of [RS90].

Moment maps

Next we define our moment maps. The motivation for these definitions comes from symplectic geometry and the actions of compact groups on compact symplectic manifolds. In the complex setting, this moment map coincides with the one from the symplectic structure on $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. For more information see [NM84] and [GS82].

Real moment maps. Given $G \circlearrowright V$ we define $\tilde{m} : V \to \mathfrak{p}$ implicitly by

$$\ll \tilde{m}(v), X \gg = < Xv, v >$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{p}$. Notice that $\tilde{m}(v)$ is a real homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. Equivalently, we could define $\tilde{m} : V \to \mathfrak{g}$; then using K-invariance and $\mathfrak{k} \perp \mathfrak{p}$ we obtain $\tilde{m}(V) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

We can just as well do this for $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright V^{\mathbb{C}}$ where we regard $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ as a real Lie group. We use the inner product $\mathbb{S}$ on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. The (real) moment map for $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright V^{\mathbb{C}}$, denoted by $\tilde{n} : V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{q}$, is defined by

$$\mathbb{S}(\tilde{n}(v), Y) = S(Yv, v)$$

for $Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Since these polynomials are homogeneous, they give rise to well defined maps on (real) projective space. Define

$$\begin{array}{ll} m : \mathbb{P}V \to \mathfrak{p} & n : \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{q} \\ m[v] = \tilde{m}(\frac{v}{|v|}) = \frac{\tilde{m}(v)}{|v|^2} & n[w] = \tilde{n}(\frac{w}{|w|}) = \frac{\tilde{n}(w)}{|w|^2} \end{array}$$

where $|w|^2 = S(w, w)$ and $S =<,>$ on V. Since $V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $\mathbb{P}V \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$; this is our main reason for studying the real moment map on $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. The next lemma compares these two real moment maps.

Lemma 2.5. *n restricted to $\mathbb{P}V$ equals m.*

Proof. Recall that n takes values in $\mathfrak{q} = i\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ and m takes values in $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Take $v \in V$ and $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ then

$$\mathbb{S}(\tilde{n}(v), iX) = S(iX \cdot v, v) = 0$$

as $V \perp iV$ (see Proposition 2.4), and we are using $(iX) \cdot v = i(X \cdot v)$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts $\mathbb{C}$-linearly on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\mathfrak{g} \perp i\mathfrak{g}$ under $\mathbb{S}$, we have $i\mathfrak{k} \perp \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\tilde{n}(v) \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Now take $X \in \mathfrak{p}$.

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \mathbb{S}(\tilde{n}(v), X) & = & \ll \tilde{n}(v), X \gg & \text{by compatibility of } \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \\ \| & & & \\ S(Xv, v) & = & < Xv, v > & \text{by compatibility of } V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}} \\ & = & \ll \tilde{m}(v), X \gg & \text{by definition/construction of } \tilde{m} \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{n}(v) = \tilde{m}(v)$ for $v \in V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}}$, which implies $n[v] = m[v]$ for $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Complex moment maps. We choose a notation that is similar to Ness [NM84] as we are following her definitions; the only difference is that we use μ where she uses m. For $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$, consider $\rho_v : G^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\rho_v(g) = |g \cdot v|^2$, where $|w|^2 = H(w, w) = S(w, w)$. Define a map $\mu : \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathfrak{q}^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathbb{R})$ by $\mu(x) = \frac{d\rho_v(e)}{|v|^2}$, where $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$ sits over $x \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, cf. [NM84, section 1]. We define the complex moment map $\mu^* : \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathfrak{q}$ by $\mu = \mathbb{S}(\mu^*, \cdot)$. Note, taking the norm square of our complex moment map will give us the norm square of the moment map in Kirwan's setting; in Kirwan's language $i\mu$ would be the moment map [NM84, section 1].

Let π denote the projection $\pi : \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Lemma 2.6. *The complex and real moment maps for $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ are related by $\mu^* \circ \pi = 2n$*

Proof. Many of our computations have the same flavor as those of Ness; we employ her ideas. Take an orthonormal basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ of $i\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{q}$ under $\mathbb{S}$. Also let $x = \pi[v] \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ for $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x) &= \sum_i \mathbb{S}(\mu^*(x), \alpha_i)\alpha_i \\ &= \sum_i [\mu(x)\alpha_i]\alpha_i \\ &= \sum_i \frac{1}{||v||^2} d\rho_v(e)(\alpha_i)\alpha_i \\ &= \sum_i \frac{1}{||v||^2} \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} ||exp\ t\alpha_i \cdot v||^2 \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

Here the norm on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is from $H = S + iA$. But S is the inner product being used on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$, and so $H(w, w) = S(w, w)$ tells us that $\mu^*(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i \frac{1}{||v||^2} 2S(\alpha_i v, v)\alpha_i \\ &= \sum_i 2\ \mathbb{S}(\tilde{n}[v], \alpha_i)\alpha_i \\ &= 2\tilde{n}[v] \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. Since $\mathbb{P}V$ is not a subspace of $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, we use $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the real moment map of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ to work between the known results of Kirwan and Ness to get information about our real group $G \circlearrowleft \mathbb{P}V$.

3 Comparison of Real and Complex cases

Most of algebraic geometry and Geometric Invariant Theory has been worked out exclusively for fields which are algebraically closed. We are interested in the real category and will exploit all the work that has already been done over $\mathbb{C}$. We use and refer the reader to [Whi57] as our main reference for real algebraic varieties.

Recall that our representation $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ is the restriction of a representation of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. The following is proposition 2.3 of [BHC62] and section 8 of [RS90]. Originally this was stated as a comparison between $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})_0$-orbits and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits, however, it can be restated as a comparison between G and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ orbits, for any G satisfying $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})_0 \subseteq G \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$. This is true as $G^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})_0$ has finite index in G.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $v \in V$, then $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} X_i$ where each X_i is a G-orbit. Moreover, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$ is closed in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ if and only if $G \cdot v$ is closed in V.*

Complex group orbits have some nice properties that we don't enjoy over the real numbers. For example, the Hausdorff and Zariski closures of a group orbit are the same for a complex linear algebraic group. One property that does translate to the reals is that the boundary of an orbit consists of orbits of strictly lower dimension. See section 8.3 of [Hum81] for the complex setting and see below for the real setting. For some interesting examples of semi-simple real algebraic groups whose orbit closure is not the Zariski closure see [EJ].

Proposition 3.2. *Let G and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ be defined as above. Take $v \in V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then*

a. *$dim_{\mathbb{R}} G \cdot v = dim_{\mathbb{C}} G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$.*

b. *$\partial(G \cdot v) = \overline{G \cdot v} - G \cdot v$ consists of G-orbits of strictly smaller dimension.*

c. *$G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap \overline{G \cdot v} = G \cdot v$.*

Proof of a. Notice that for a real Lie group H, $H \cdot v \simeq H/H_v$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of H. Then at the Lie algebra level it is easy to see that $(\mathfrak{h}_v)^{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})_v$, for $v \in V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}}$. As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} G = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G^{\mathbb{C}}$, we are done.

Proof of b. Recall two facts about complex group orbits. First, the boundary $\overline{G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v} - G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$ of the complex group orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$ consists of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits of strictly smaller dimension, see [Hum81, section 8.3]. Second, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \bigcap V = \bigcup_{1}^{m} X_i$, where each X_i is a G-orbit. Moreover, each X_i is closed in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \bigcap V$ as it is a finite union of connected components of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap V$, see [BHC62, Proposition 2.3]. If $v \in X_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $G \cdot v = X_i$ and $\overline{G \cdot v} \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v = X_i$. If $w \in \overline{G \cdot v} - G \cdot v$, then $w \in \overline{G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v} - G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v$, and it follows from a and the first remark above that $G \cdot w$ has smaller dimension than $G \cdot v$.

Proof of c. This follows immediately from b and its proof. □

Orbits in Projective space

Since our groups act linearly on vectors spaces we can consider the induced actions on projective space $G \circlearrowright \mathbb{P}V$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For $v \in V$, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot [v] \cap \overline{G \cdot [v]} = G \cdot [v]$ in $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

This is the same result in projective space that we had for our vector spaces.

Proof. The actions of $\mathbb{R}^* \times G$ and G on $\mathbb{P}V$ are the same; moreover, $(\mathbb{R}^* \times G)^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^* \times G^{\mathbb{C}}$. Given $v \in V$ take $g_n \in G$ and $g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $[g_n v] \to [gv]$ in $\mathbb{P}V$. Then we want to show $[gv] \in G \cdot [v]$. Now take $r_n, r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r_n g_n v, rgv$ have unit length in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. We can assume $r_n g_n v \to rgv$ by passing to $-r$ and a subsequence if necessary.
Then $r_n g_n v \to rgv \in \mathbb{C}^* \times G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot v \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}^* \times G \cdot v}$. Therefore, $rgv \in \mathbb{R}^* \times G \cdot v$ using Proposition 3.2c and our result follows. □

4 Closed and Distinguished Orbits

We begin with a theorem of Richardson and Slodowy (for real groups) which follows the work of Kempf and Ness (for complex groups). To find which orbits are closed, one looks for the infimum of $|g \cdot v|^2$ along the orbit. Such a vector is called a *minimal vector* and it occurs on the orbit precisely when our orbit is closed. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ denote the set of minimal vectors in V. Although not stated using the moment map, the following was proven in [RS90, Theorem 4.4, 7.3].

Theorem 4.1. *$G \cdot v$ is closed if and only if there exists $w \in G \cdot v$ such that $\tilde{m}(w) = 0$. Such a vector w is minimal. Moreover, $\mathfrak{M} = \tilde{m}^{-1}(0)$ and $\overline{G \cdot v} \cap \mathfrak{M}$ is a single K-orbit.*

Equivalently we could find the zero's of $||\tilde{m}||^2$ to find the minimal vectors. Minimal vectors are used to understand the *semi-stable points*, that is, all the vectors whose orbit closure does not contain zero. In contrast, the *null cone* is the set of vectors whose orbit closure does contain zero. To study the null cone, we move to projective space. Clearly we cannot use minimal vectors to study the geometry of the null cone, so instead of looking for zeros of $||m||^2$ on $\mathbb{P}V$ we look for critical points of $||m||^2$.

Definition 4.2. *We say that $v \in V$ or $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$ is distinguished if $||m||^2 : \mathbb{P}V \to \mathbb{R}$ has a critical point at $[v]$. We say that an orbit $G \cdot v$ or $G \cdot [v]$ is distinguished if it contains a distinguished point. Analogously, we define distinguished points and $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ using $||\mu^*||^2$.*

Minimal vectors are distinguished as zero is an absolute minimum of the function $||m||^2$. Our goal is to find an analogue of Theorem 3.1 for distinguished orbits. To understand critical points of $||m||^2$, we will find a way to relate this function to $||\mu^*||^2$ by means of $||n||^2$. Recall that $||\mu^*||^2$ has been studied extensively in [NM84, Kir84].

Our first observation is that the only closed orbits $G \cdot [v] \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ occur when $G \cdot [v] = K \cdot [v]$. This is well known, but an elegant and geometric proof is easily obtained using properties of the moment map; see, e.g., [Mar01, Theorem 1]. So our main interest is in the remaining distinguished orbits.

Proposition 4.3. *If $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$, then grad $||n||^2[v] =$ grad $||m||^2[v] \in T_{[v]}G \cdot [v]$. Hence, $||n||^2$ has a critical point at $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ if and only if $||m||^2$ does so. Moreover, if $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$, and $\varphi_t[v]$ is the integral curve of $-$grad $||n||^2$ starting at $[v]$, then $\varphi_t[v] \in G \cdot [v] \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ for all t.*

Before proving the proposition, we study the gradients of these functions. Let $\phi : G^{\mathbb{C}} \times V^{\mathbb{C}} \to V^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the action of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $\phi_v : G^{\mathbb{C}} \to V^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the induced map for every $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$. We define vector fields on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows. On $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ we define

$$\tilde{X}_\alpha(v) := d\phi_v(\alpha) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} exp\ t\alpha \cdot v$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. And on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$

$$X_\alpha[v] := \pi_* \tilde{X}_\alpha(v)$$

where $\pi : V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is projection. Note, this is well defined as our action $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is linear.

Lemma 4.4. *For $x \in \mathbb{P}V$, grad $||m||^2(x) = 4X_{m(x)}(x)$. For $x \in \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$, grad $||n||^2(x) = 4X_{n(x)}(x)$.*

Marian proves the first statement for $||m||^2$ on $\mathbb{P}V$, see [Mar01, Lemma 2]. Her proof carries over to obtain the statement for $||n||^2$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof of proposition 4.3. The first assertion follows from Lemma 4.4, Lemma 2.5, and the fact that $m[v] \in \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ for $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$. The second and third assertions follow immediately from the first. □

Next we relate the actions of our complex group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. By Lemma 2.6 we know $||\mu^* \circ \pi[v]||^2 = 4||n[v]||^2$ for $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\pi : \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. This shows that $||n||^2$ is not just U-invariant, it is also $U \times \mathbb{C}^*$-invariant. We wish to relate the actions of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ by comparing their gradients from the natural Riemannian structures on these projective spaces.

The Riemannian structures and gradients on projective space

Recall that projective space can be endowed with a natural Riemannian metric so that projection from the vector space is a Riemannian submersion. This natural Riemannian metric is called the Fubini-Study metric and is defined as follows. Take $\zeta_i \in T_{[w]}\mathbb{KP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\Pi^{\mathbb{K}} : V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{KP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ be the usual projection and take $\xi_i \in T_w V^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\Pi^{\mathbb{K}}_*(\xi_i) = \zeta_i$. The Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{KP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is defined by

$$(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{(\xi_1, \xi_2)(w, w) - (\xi_1, w)(\xi_2, w)}{(w, w)}$$

One can naturally identify the tangent space $T_{\Pi^{\mathbb{K}}(w)}\mathbb{KP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ with the orthogonal compliment of $\mathbb{K} - span < w >$ in $T_w V^{\mathbb{C}}$. In our setting, we are using S, the extension of $<,>$ on V, as our inner product on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Using these natural choices of Riemannian structures on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ we see that $\pi : \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is also a Riemannian submersion.

We are interested in the negative gradient flow of the moment map. Let φ_t denote the negative gradient flow of $||n||^2$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $||\mu^*||^2$ on $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Definition 4.5. *The ω-limit set of $\varphi_t(p) \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the set $\{q \in \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \varphi_{t_n}(p) \to q$ for some sequence $t_n \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}\}$. We denote this set by $\omega(p)$.*

Analogously, we can define the ω-limit set of $\varphi_t(p) \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ and we denote this set by $\omega(p)$ also. It is easy to see that $\omega(p)$ is invariant under φ_t for all t.

Remark. We observe that points in the ω-limit set of a negative gradient flow are fixed points of the flow, that is, critical points of the given function. In general this is not true for ω-limit points associated to non-gradient flows. We include a brief argument for the reader.

Consider $F : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\varphi_t(p)$ denote the integral curve of $-grad\ F$ starting at $p \in M$. Observe that F is decreasing along $\varphi_t(p)$. Suppose $\omega(p)$ is non-empty. Then we can define $c = \lim_{t\to\infty} F(\varphi_t(p))$ to obtain $\omega(p) \subseteq F^{-1}(c)$. Thus for $q \in \omega(p)$ we see that $\varphi_t(q) \subseteq F^{-1}(c)$. Hence, $grad\ F(q) = 0$. That is, points in the ω-limit set of $-grad\ F$ are critical points for F.

Proposition 4.6. *Endow $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ with the Riemannian metrics so that the projections from $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ are Riemannian submersions. Then the following are true for $[v] \in \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$*

a. $4\pi_*\ grad\ ||n||^2[v] = grad\ ||\mu^*||^2(\pi[v])$

b. *$[v] \in \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a critical point of $||n||^2$ if and only if $\pi[v] \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$.*

c. *$\varphi_t \circ \pi = \pi \circ \varphi_{4t}$, where φ_t denotes the negative gradient flow of $||n||^2$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ or $||\mu^*||^2$ on $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$.*

d. *$\pi(\omega([v])) = \omega(\pi[v])$, where $\omega(p)$ denotes the ω-limit set of the negative gradient flow starting from p.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4 < grad||n||^2[v], w_{[v]} > &= 4\ \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} ||n[v + tw]||^2 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} ||\mu^*\pi[v + tw]||^2 \\ &= < grad||\mu^*||^2(\pi[v]), \pi_* w_{[v]} > \end{aligned}$$

Since π_* is a submersion we have that π_* maps the horizontal subspace of $T_{[v]}\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ isometrically onto $T_{\pi[v]}\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ and part *a.* is proven. Thus if $[v]$ is a critical point for $||n||^2$, then $\pi[v]$ is one for $||\mu^*||^2$. To obtain the reverse direction use the $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariance of $||n||^2$. This proves part *b.*

Proof of part *c*. Let $[v] \in \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the curve $\pi \circ \varphi_{4t}[v]$ in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. This curve satisfies the following differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\pi \circ \varphi_{4t}[v] = \pi_* \, 4(-grad \, ||n||^2)(\varphi_{4t}[v]) = -grad \, ||\mu^*||^2(\pi \circ \varphi_{4t}[v])$$

That is, the curve $\pi \circ \varphi_{4t}[v]$ is the integral curve of the negative gradient flow of $||\mu^*||^2$ starting at $\pi[v]$. Thus, $\pi \circ \varphi_{4t} = \varphi_t \circ \pi$.

Proof of part *d*. We will show containment in both directions. Take $p \in \omega[v]$, then there exists a sequence of $t_n \to \infty$ such that $\varphi_{t_n}[v] \to p$ in $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Using part *c*, we have $\varphi_{t_n/4}(\pi[v]) = \pi \circ \varphi_{t_n}[v] \to \pi(p)$. That is, $\pi(p) \in \omega(\pi[v])$, or $\pi(\omega[v]) \subseteq \omega(\pi[v])$. To obtain the other direction, take $q \in \omega(\pi[v])$ and $t_n \to \infty$ so that $\varphi_{t_n}(\pi[v]) \to q$ in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. Consider the set $\varphi_{4t_n}[v]$ in $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is compact, we can find a limit point of this set and passing to a subsequence we may assume $\varphi_{4t_n}[v] \to p$. Then $p \in \omega[v]$, $\pi(p) = q$ by (c) and we have shown $q \in \pi(\omega[v])$. That is, $\omega(\pi[v]) \subseteq \pi(\omega[v])$. □

We finish the section by stating our main theorem and some corollaries.

Theorem 4.7. *Given $G \circlearrowright V$, $G^{\mathbb{C}} \circlearrowright V^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $[v] \in \mathbb{P}V$ we have*

$G \cdot [v]$ is a distinguished orbit in $\mathbb{P}V$ if and only if $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \pi[v]$ is a distinguished orbit in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Here $\pi : \mathbb{P}V \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the usual projection.

Remark. Analysis of the proof of Theorem 4.7 shows the following. Given $v \in V \subseteq V^{\mathbb{C}}$, the orbits $G \cdot [v] \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \pi[v] \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ being distinguished is equivalent to $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot [v] \subseteq \mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ being distinguished using $||n||^2$ on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose we have $v_1, v_2 \in V$ with distinct G-orbits but whose $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits are the same. Then $G \cdot [v_1]$ is distinguished if and only if $G \cdot [v_2]$ is distinguished.*

Remark. The phenomenon of two vectors having different real orbits but the same complex orbit happens often. This corollary was a necessary ingredient in the solution to the problem of showing that generic 2-step nilmanifolds admit soliton metrics. See [Jab08]. This corollary is also used to prove other interesting geometric results; see, e.g., Theorem 6.5.

5 Proofs of Main Theorems

Here we prove Theorem 4.7 on distinguished orbits. To do this, we first prove a statement for complex moment maps in the complex setting. Then we will relate the complex moment map information to the real moment map for the $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ action.

Remark. For $x \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, the critical points of $||\mu^*||^2$ restricted to $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x$ are precisely the critical points of $||\mu^*||^2$ as a function on $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. This is because $grad \, ||\mu^*||^2(x)$ is always tangent to $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x$. We denote the set of critical points of $||\mu^*||^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ by $\mathfrak{C}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For $x \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, suppose $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ contains a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$. If $z \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ is such a critical point, then $\mathfrak{C} \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot x = U \cdot z$. Moreover, $U \cdot z = \bigcup_{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}} \omega(gx)$.*

Let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of critical points of $||m||^2$ on $\mathbb{P}V$. We have a real analogue of the theorem above.

Theorem 5.2. *For $x \in \mathbb{P}V$, suppose $G \cdot x \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ contains a critical point of $||m||^2$. If $z \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}V$ is such a critical point, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G \cdot x = K \cdot z$. Moreover, $K \cdot z = \bigcup_{g \in G} \omega(gx)$.*

Before proving Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we apply Theorem 5.1 to prove Theorem 4.7.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Suppose first that $G\cdot[v]$ is distinguished. Then $G\cdot[v]=G\cdot[w]$ where $[w]$ is a critical point of $||m||^2$. But now Proposition 4.3 implies that $[w]$ is a critical point of $||n||^2$ and Proposition 4.6 implies that $\pi[w]$ is a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$; that is, $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi[v]$ is distinguished.

Now suppose $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi[v]$ is distinguished. Our goal is to show that the orbit $G\cdot[v]$ in $\mathbb{P}V$ contains a critical point of $||m||^2$. We will use the $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ action on $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the real moment map of this action. As $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi[v]$ is distinguished, and $\pi: G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot[v]\to G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi[v]$ is surjective, there exists $w\in G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot[v]$ such that $\pi[w]\in G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi[v]$ is a critical point of $||\mu^*||^2$.

Apply the negative gradient flow of $||n||^2$ in $\mathbb{RP}V^{\mathbb{C}}$ starting at $[v]\in\mathbb{P}V$. By Proposition 4.3 this is the negative gradient flow of $||m||^2$ and the ω-limit set $\omega[v]\subseteq\overline{G\cdot[v]}$ consists of critical points of $||n||^2$ and $||m||^2$ (see the remark following Definition 4.5). By Proposition 4.6 d and Theorem 5.1, we have $\pi(\omega[v])=\omega(\pi[v])\subseteq U\cdot\pi[w]$; hence, $\omega[v]\subseteq\pi^{-1}(U\cdot\pi[w])=\mathbb{C}^*\times U\cdot[w]\subseteq\mathbb{C}^*\times G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot[v]$. This implies

$$\omega[v]\subseteq\mathbb{C}^*\times G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot[v]\cap\overline{G\cdot[v]}\subseteq\mathbb{C}^*\times G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot[v]\cap\overline{\mathbb{R}^*\times G\cdot[v]}=\mathbb{R}^*\times G\cdot[v]=G\cdot[v]$$

by Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $(\mathbb{R}^*\times G)^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C}^*\times G^{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence $\omega[v]$ consists of critical points of $||m||^2$ that lie in $G\cdot[v]$. This proves Theorem 4.7.

Before proving Theorem 5.1, we prove Theorem 5.2. The proof of this theorem is actually embedded in the proof of Theorem 4.7. We present it here.

Proof of 5.2 The fact that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{R}}\cap G\cdot x$ constitutes a single K-orbit is the content of [Mar01, Theorem 1]. In [Mar01] G is taken to be semi-simple; however, all the results hold for G real reductive with the same proofs, mutatis mutandis. Our original contribution is the second statement of the theorem. We prove it here.

Suppose $G\cdot x\subseteq\mathbb{P}V$ contains a critical point z of $||m||^2$. Then the orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\pi(x)$ is distinguished in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ by Theorem 4.7. The proof of Theorem 4.7 shows, for $g\in G$, $\omega(gx)$ consists of critical points of $||m||^2$ in $G\cdot x$. By Theorem 1 of [Mar01], we have $\omega(gx)\subseteq K\cdot z$. Hence, $\bigcup_{g\in G}\omega(gx)=K\cdot z$, since $\omega(y)=\{y\}$ for all $y\in K\cdot z$.

Lastly we have to prove Theorem 5.1. The first statement is proven in [NM84, Theorem 6.2]. That is, the critical points of $||\mu^*||^2$ on a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit comprise a single U-orbit. As in Theorem 5.2, our original contribution is the second statement.

The statement that the whole orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot x$ flows to one U-orbit $U\cdot z$ is plausible, but is not contained in Kirwan's work [Kir84]. It is a finer statement than the $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-invariance of Kirwan's stratification of $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. There are two problems to be aware of: first, for $g\in G^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\omega(gx)$ might be a set with more than one point and, second, there is no reason to expect that $\omega(gx)$ lies entirely in the orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot x$. This proof is just for the complex setting of our complex group $G^{\mathbb{C}}\circlearrowright\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. This is the setting of Kirwan and Ness.

Remark. It has been pointed out to me by Jorge Lauret that the set $\omega(x)$ consists of a single point, see section 2.5 of [Sja98]. However, our proof does not require the use of this fact.

Proof of 5.1 Consider an orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot y$ which is distinguished and let $z\in G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot y$ be a critical point. Let x be any point in $G^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot y$. To show that $\omega(x)\subseteq U\cdot z$, we will first show that the limit set $\omega(x)$ intersects $U\cdot z$ and then show containment. First we need to recall some results from Kirwan's work [Kir84].

We have a smooth stratification of $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ into strata S_β which are $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant. The strata are determined by a certain decomposition of the critical set $\mathfrak{C}$ of $||\mu^*||^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$. This critical set is a finite union $\mathfrak{C}=\bigcup_{\beta\in B}C_\beta$ where $||\mu^*||^2$ takes a constant value on C_β and each C_β is U-invariant. We will denote this constant value of $||\mu^*||^2$ on C_β by $M_\beta=||\beta||^2$; here B is actually a finite set in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the norm $||\cdot||$ comes from the prescribed inner product on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

For $\beta\in B$, the stratum S_β is defined to be the set of points which flow via the negative gradient flow to the critical set C_β, that is, $S_\beta=\{x\in\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})|\ \omega(x)\subseteq C_\beta\}$. In particular, $C_\beta\subseteq S_\beta$. See section 2 of [Kir84]

for a detailed discussion of this Morse Theory approach to Geometric Invariant Theory. If $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y \cap C_\beta \neq \emptyset$ then

$$G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y \cap C_\beta = U \cdot z$$

for $z \in C_\beta$, that is, the critical points in a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit comprise a single U-orbit, see [NM84, Theorem 6.2]. We show two things. First, if $x \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is in a neighborhood of $U \cdot z$, then $\omega(x) \subseteq U \cdot z$. Second, this neighborhood of $U \cdot z$ in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ should be the entire orbit; that is, $\omega(x) \subseteq U \cdot z$ for all $x \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. The first is a little more obvious but does rely on the fact that our moment map is a minimally degenerate Morse function, see definition 10.1 of [Kir84]. That fact that $||\mu^*||^2$ is a minimally degenerate Morse function can be found in section 4 of [Kir84].

Fix β. We will be interested in $z \in C_\beta$ and the orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. We define $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}}) \mid ||\mu^*||^2(x) \in [\, M_\beta, M_\beta + \varepsilon)\} \cap S_\beta$. This is an open subset of S_β that contains $C_\beta = \{x \in S_\beta \mid ||\mu^*(x)||^2 = M_\beta\}$. We observe that $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ is invariant under the forward flow φ_t of $-grad\ ||\mu^*||^2$ as $||\mu^*||^2$ decreases along the trajectories $t \to \varphi_t(x)$. Since $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{CP}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, hence also of S_β, $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is open in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ and contains $U \cdot z$ as C_β is U-invariant.

Definition 5.3. *We define $\{V_{\varepsilon,i}\}$ to be the collection of connected components of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ that intersect $U \cdot z$. We define $V_\varepsilon := \bigcup_i V_{\varepsilon,i}$.*

Remark. V_ε is an open set of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ that contains $U \cdot z$. As U has finitely many components, $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i U_0$ and we can write $V_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_{\varepsilon,i}$ where $\phi_i U_0(z) \subseteq V_{\varepsilon,i}$. The $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ are connected and open in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ as $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is open in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is locally connected, see [Mun00, Theorem 25.3]. Moreover, since $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ and $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ are invariant under $\varphi_t, t > 0$, we see that the components $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ are invariant under forward flow, as well.

Proposition 5.4. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{V}_\varepsilon \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. Moreover, $\omega(V_\varepsilon) = U \cdot z$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Before proving this statement, we will show that there exists some open set A containing $U \cdot z$ in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ such that $\overline{A}$ is a compact subset of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. Then we will show that $V_\varepsilon \subseteq A$ for small ε. This would then prove the first assertion of the proposition.

Recall that $G^{\mathbb{C}} = U\ exp(iLU)$. If we let $B =$ the open unit ball in iLU then $A = U\ exp(B) \cdot z$ has the said property, that is, $\overline{A}$ is a compact subset of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$.

Lemma 5.5. *Either $V_\varepsilon \subseteq A$ or $V_\varepsilon \cap \partial A \neq \emptyset$. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, $V_\varepsilon \subseteq A$.*

This will follow from

Lemma 5.6. *Either $V_{\varepsilon,i} \subseteq A$ or $V_{\varepsilon,i} \cap \partial A \neq \emptyset$.*

To prove this lemma, suppose $V_{\varepsilon,i} \not\subseteq A$ and $V_{\varepsilon,i} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$. Since $V_{\varepsilon,i} \cap A$ intersects $U \cdot z$, we see that $V_{\varepsilon,i} = (V_{\varepsilon,i} \cap A) \cup (V_{\varepsilon,i} \backslash \overline{A})$; that is, $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ is separated by these disjoint open sets. This contradicts the connectedness of $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ and the lemma is proven.

We continue with the proof of the first lemma. Suppose $V_\varepsilon \not\subseteq A$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for each ε there exists some point $p_\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon \cap \partial A$. By definition $||\mu^*||^2(p_\varepsilon) \leq M_\beta + \varepsilon$. Letting epsilon go to zero we can find a limit point $p_\infty \in \partial A$ as ∂A is compact. Hence, $p_\infty \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z - A \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z - U \cdot z$. Moreover, $||\mu^*||^2(p_\infty) = M_\beta$ and we have found a point in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ which is not on $U \cdot z$ but minimizes $||\mu^*||$ on $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. This is a contradiction since $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z \cap C_\beta = U \cdot z$ by [NM84, Theorem 6.2]. Therefore, $V_\varepsilon \subseteq A$ for small ε. This proves the first lemma and the first claim in the proposition.

To finish the proof of the proposition, we observe that $U \cdot z = \omega(U \cdot z) \subseteq \omega(V_\varepsilon)$ since $U \cdot z \subseteq C_\beta$ and φ_t fixes the points of C_β for all t. Thus we just need to show containment in the other direction. Since the set V_ε is invariant under forward flow and $V_\varepsilon \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z \subseteq S_\beta$, we see that $\omega(V_\varepsilon) \subseteq \overline{V_\varepsilon} \cap C_\beta \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z \cap C_\beta = U \cdot z$. ∎

Definition 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z \mid \omega(x) \subseteq U \cdot z\}$.*

Lemma 5.8. *Consider the set $\mathcal{O}$ defined above. Then $\mathcal{O} = G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$.*

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}$ is open and closed in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ and intersects each component of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. To see that $\mathcal{O}$ intersects each component of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$, we observe that $\mathcal{O}$ contains $U \cdot z$ and that each component of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ intersects U since $G^{\mathbb{C}} = UQ$ and $Q = exp(\mathfrak{q})$ is contractible, see the remarks before Proposition 2.4. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ as in Proposition 5.4.

$\mathcal{O}$ is open:

We know for small $\varepsilon > 0$, V_ε is open in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$, contains $U \cdot z$, and V_ε is contained in $\mathcal{O}$ by Proposition 5.4. It suffices to consider $x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash U \cdot z$. Then there exists $t_* > 0$ such that $\varphi_{t_*}(x)$ intersects V_ε, from the definition of $\mathcal{O}$. But $\varphi_{-t_*} : V_\varepsilon \to \varphi_{-t_*}(V_\varepsilon)$ is a diffeomorphism of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ (and also of S_β). Thus, $\varphi_{-t_*}(V_\varepsilon)$ is an open set in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ containing x, which is contained in $\mathcal{O}$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}$ is open.

$\mathcal{O}$ is closed:

We will show $\partial\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$; here we mean the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$ in the topological space $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. Take $y_n \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $y_n \to y \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$. Since $z \in C_\beta \subseteq S_\beta$ and S_β is $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant, it follows that $y \in G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z \subseteq S_\beta$ and hence $\omega(y) \subseteq C_\beta$. Thus, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\varphi_M(y) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$. We will denote the component of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ containing $\varphi_M(y)$ by $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$; again, this component is open in $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ as $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ is locally connected. Observe that for $t \geq M$, $\varphi_t(y) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$ and $\varphi_s(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$ for $s \geq 0$ as φ_s leaves $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ invariant for $s \geq 0$. Since φ_t is a diffeomorphism on S_β which preserves $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$, $\varphi_M^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y)$ is an open set of $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ containing y.

We assert that $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y \cap V_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$. Since $y_n \in \mathcal{O}$, we know there exists $T_n > 0$ such that $\varphi_{T_n}(y_n) \in V_\varepsilon$, by definition of $\mathcal{O}$. Additionally, for $t \geq T_n$, $\varphi_t(y_n) \in V_\varepsilon$ by the flow invariance of V_ε.

Pick N such that $y_N \in \varphi_M^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y)$, which we can do as $\varphi_M^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y)$ is open and $y_n \to y$. Then we have $\varphi_M(y_N) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$, a single component of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$, and $\varphi_{T_N}(y_N) \in V_\varepsilon$.

If $M \geq T_N$, then $\varphi_M(y_N) = \varphi_{M-T_N}(\varphi_{T_N}(y_N)) \in \varphi_{M-T_N}(V_\varepsilon) \subseteq V_\varepsilon$.
That is, $\varphi_M(y_N) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y \cap V_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$.

If $T_N \geq M$, then $\varphi_{T_N}(y_N) = \varphi_{T_N-M}(\varphi_M(y_N)) \in \varphi_{T_N-M}(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$.
That is, $\varphi_{T_N}(y_N) \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y \cap V_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y$ being a connected component of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$ which intersects V_ε, a union of connected components of $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \cap G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot z$, we have $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^y \subseteq V_\varepsilon$. That is, $y \in \mathcal{O}$ since $\varphi_t(y) \in V_\varepsilon$ for $t \geq M$ and $\omega(V_\varepsilon) \subseteq U \cdot z$ by Proposition 5.4. This proves the lemma.

This completes the proof of theorem 5.1.

6 Applications to the left-invariant geometry of Lie groups

We apply the previous results to the study of left-invariant metrics on nilpotent and solvable Lie groups. The relationship between left-invariant Einstein metrics on solvable Lie groups, left-invariant Ricci soliton metrics on nilpotent Lie groups, and Geometric Invariant Theory was established and explored by J. Heber [Heb98] and J. Lauret [Lau01]. We present a sketch of this relationship below and refer the reader to [Lau08] for more details.

In the search for left-invariant Einstein metrics on solvable Lie groups, it is sufficient to consider the nilradical of the given solvable Lie group.

Theorem 6.1 (Lauret [Lau07])**.** *Let S be a solvable Lie group with nilradical N. Then S admits a left-invariant Einstein metric if and only if N admits a left-invariant Ricci soliton metric.*

Left-invariant Ricci soliton metrics on nilpotent Lie groups are often referred to as *nilsoliton* metrics. The search for, and classification of, nilsoliton metrics aids in the search for, and classification of, left-invariant Einstein metrics on solvmanifolds; however, these metrics are very interesting in their own right.

The relationship between left-invariant metrics on nilpotent Lie groups and Geometric Invariant Theory is as follows. Consider a nilpotent Lie group N with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{N}$. A left-invariant metric on N corresponds to a choice of inner product on $\mathfrak{N}$. Thus, the space of left-invariant metrics on N is the space of inner products on $\mathfrak{N}$. We can vary the inner products on $\mathfrak{N}$ to search for nilsolitons, or we can fix our choice of inner product on $\mathfrak{N}$ and instead vary the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{N}$. This is the perspective taken by Lauret.

Consider the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the usual inner product; that is, so that standard basis is orthonormal. We consider the space of skew-symmetric, bilinear forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$V = \wedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^n = \{\mu : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mu \text{ is bilinear and skew-symmetric } \}$$

The set of Lie algebra brackets is clearly a subset of the vector space above; in fact, the set of Lie algebra structures is a variety. Moreover, the set of nilpotent Lie algebra brackets is also a variety. It is described by the polynomials describing the Jacobi identity and nilpotency (via Cartan's criterion for nilpotentcy).

There is a natural $GL_n\mathbb{R}$ action on V which preserves the varieties of Lie algebra structures. For $\mu \in V$, $g \in GL_n\mathbb{R}$, and $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$g \cdot \mu(X, Y) = g\mu(g^{-1}X, g^{-1}Y)$$

In this setting, the $GL_n\mathbb{R}$-orbits are precisely the isomorphism classes of Lie algebra structures on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The inner product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ extends naturally to an inner product on $V = \wedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows. Denote the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and denote its extension to V by the same notation. Then for $\mu, \lambda \in V$ we define $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{ij} \langle \mu(e_i, e_j), \lambda(e_i, e_j) \rangle$ where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{R}$ we use the usual inner product from the trace form, that is, $\langle\langle X, Y \rangle\rangle = tr(XY^t)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{R}$.

Using these inner products, we can construct the moment map $\tilde{m}$ for the action of $GL_n\mathbb{R}$ on V and similarly m for the action of $GL_n\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{P}V$ (see section 2).

Theorem 6.2 (Lauret)**.** *Let N_μ denote the simply connected nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant metric whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{N}_\mu$ (with inner product) corresponds to the point $\mu \in V$. Then N_μ is a nilsoliton if and only if μ is a critical point of $F(v) = ||m \circ \pi||^2(v)$. Equivalently, N_μ is an Einstein nilradical if and only if the orbit $GL_n\mathbb{R} \cdot \mu$ is distinguished.*

This theorem can be found in [Lau08]. The last equivalence is not stated using the label of distinguished orbit but is stated using the idea. In section 10 of [Lau08] there are several open questions of interest which are presented. We state Question # 5 from this list.

Question 6.3. *Consider the function $F : V \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F(v) = ||m \circ \pi(v)||^2$ where $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}V$ is the usual projection and m is the moment map on real projective space. Define μ_t to be the integral curve of $-grad\ F$ starting at μ_0 on the sphere of radius 2. Is μ_∞ (the limit point along the integral curve) contained in the orbit $GL_n\mathbb{R} \cdot \mu_0$ if N_{μ_0} is an Einstein nilradical?*

This is clearly a special case of our work (Theorem 5.2). The flow restricted to the sphere of radius 2 in V projects onto the flow in projective space; recall that the sphere is a 2-to-1 cover of $\mathbb{P}V$. Thus, convergence within the group orbit in the sphere is equivalent to convergence within the group orbit in projective space. Finally, as N_{μ_0} being an Einstein nilradical is equivalent to the orbit $GL_n\mathbb{R} \cdot \mu_0$ begin distinguished, we have the following.

Theorem 6.4. *Let N_{μ_0} be an Einstein nilradical. Let μ_∞ denote the limit point of the negative gradient flow of the function F starting at μ_0. Then μ_∞ is contained in the orbit $GL_n\mathbb{R} \cdot \mu_0$; that is, N_{μ_0} and N_{μ_∞} are isomorphic Lie groups.*

Lastly, we apply Corollary 4.8 to the setting of real forms of complex Lie algebras to obtain another interesting geometric consequence of our work. Let N be a simply connected real nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{N}$. Let $N^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the simply connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{N}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We call $N^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of N.

Theorem 6.5. *Let N_1 and N_2 be two real simply connected nilpotent Lie groups whose complexifications $N_1^{\mathbb{C}}$, $N_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ are isomorphic. Then N_1 is an Einstein nilradical if and only if N_2 is an Einstein nilradical.*

Remark. This theorem has also been obtained by Nikolayevsky in [Nik08, Theorem 6] where he studies closed orbits of a particular reductive group associated to each nilmanifold. There the philosophy of comparing real and complex group orbits is also employed.

References

[BHC62] Armand Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, The Annals of Mathematics **75** (1962), no. 3, 485–535, 2nd ser.

[Bor91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[EJ] Patrick Eberlein and Michael Jablonski, *Closed orbits and the real M function*, preprint (2008).

[GS82] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 3, 491–513.

[Heb98] Jens Heber, *Noncompact homogeneous einstein spaces*, Inventiones Mathematicae **133** (1998), no. 2, 279–352.

[Hum81] James E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 21, Spring-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1981.

[Jab08] Michael Jablonski, *Real geometric invariant theory and Ricci soliton metrics on two-step nilmanifolds*, Thesis (May 2008).

[Kir84] Frances Clare Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1984.

[KN78] G. Kempf and L. Ness, *The length of vectors in representation spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes 732 (Copenhagen), Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings, 1978, pp. 233–244.

[Lau01] Jorge Lauret, *Ricci soliton homogeneous nilmanifolds*, Math. Annalen **319** (2001), 715–733.

[Lau07] ———, *Einstein solvmanifolds are standard*, arXiv:math.DG/0703472 (2007).

[Lau08] ———, *Einstein solvmanifolds and nilsolitons*, arxiv: math.DG/0806.0035 (2008).

[Mar01] Alina Marian, *On the real moment map*, Mathematical Research Letters **8** (2001), 779–788.

[Mos55] G. D. Mostow, *Self-adjoint groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **62** (1955), 44–55.

[Mun00] James R. Munkres, *Topology*, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2000.

[Nik08] Y. Nikolayevsky, *Einstein solvmanifolds and the pre-Einstein derivation*, arXiv: math.DG/0802.2137 (2008).

[NM84] Linda Ness and David Mumford, *A stratification of the null cone via the moment map*, American Journal of Mathematics **106** (1984), no. 6, 1281–1329.

[RS90] R.W. Richardson and P.J. Slodowy, *Minimum vectors for real reductive algebraic groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 409–429.

[Sja98] Reyer Sjamaar, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping re-examined*, Adv. Math. **138** (1998), no. 1, 46–91. MR MR1645052 (2000a:53148)

[Whi57] Hassler Whitney, *Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties*, The Annals of Mathematics **66** (1957), no. 3, 545–556, 2nd Ser.